HONORABLE ROBERT J BRYAN

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

٦6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

- | 18612| 12/18) 1/18/8 1/18/8 18/4 | 10 18/ 1/18/ 18/6 18/6

CV 01-05138 #00000054



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

CORY L THOMAS, ABDULLAH ALI, MUHAMMAD ALEXANDER, and ISMAIL RAHMAAN,

Plaintiffs,

٧

CITY OF TACOMA, a municipal corporation, TACOMA POLICE DEPARTMENT, BRIAN EBERSOLE, JAMES O HAIRSTON, RAY CORPUZ; KRISTI BUCKLIN; NATHAN CLAMMER, TERRY KRAUSE, ROBERT LUKE, DAVID PECK, STEVE O'KEEFE, ROBERT BAKER, JOE BUNDY, RONALD TENNYSON, TODD TERHAR, DAVID ANDERSON, SHEPPARD CLARKE, KEITH MILLER, JOHN DOE BLAIR, JOHN DOE TACOMA POLICE OFFICER NO 1 (MARLBORO),

Defendants

NO CO1-5138 RJB

AFFIDAVIT OF SHELLEY M KERSLAKE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWERS TO **INTERROGATORIES**

AFFIDAVIT OF SHELLEY M KERSLAKE - 1



Tacoma City Attorney Civil Division 747 Market Street, Room 1120 Tacoma, Washington 98402-3767

LEC 005 (67a)1q

(253) 591-5885 / IAX 591-5755

5 6

9

11

10

12 ⊥3

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

> AFFIDAVIT OF SHELLEY M KERSLAKE - 2

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) ss
COUNTY OF PIERCE)

SHELLEY M KERSLAKE, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and states as follows

- 1 That I am one of the attorneys of record for the defendants in the above-captioned action, and am over the age of eighteen and competent to testify herein
- 2 That on June 1, 2001, interrogatories and requests for production of documents were propounded individually to each plaintiff
- 3 That on July 11, 2001, at the request of plaintiffs' counsel, the due date for his clients' answers and responses was extended to August 1, 2001 See letters attached as Exhibit 1
- 4 That on August 7, 2001, the answers and responses that were received, were wholly inadequate, as evidenced by the answers attached to defendants' Memorandum
- 5 That following your affiant's review of the plaintiffs' answers and the documents produced, a CR 37 conference was held with Attorney Howell on September 14, 2001 At that time, plaintiffs' attorney agreed to provide complete answers by the following Friday, September 21, 2001

	6	That following the CR 37 conference, on September 14, 2001, a
lette	r detaılın	g each answer and response that required answers or supplemental
ınfor	mation v	vas faxed to Mr Howell See Exhibit 2

- 7 That on Friday, September 21, 2001, an additional extension of one week was requested by Mr Howell's legal assistant, and granted
- That on September 25, 2001, I received plaintiff Ali's supplemental answers (attached hereto as Exhibit 3) However, these answers fail to correct the deficiencies noted in my letter of September 14, 2001
- 9 That as of this date, no supplemental responses have been received for the other plaintiffs
- That the plaintiffs' depositions are currently scheduled for October 16 and 17, 2001, and these answers are necessary to adequately prepare for the depositions and to keep the depositions within the time frame allowed by court rule
- 11 That I have reviewed the plaintiffs responses to the Defendants' First and Second Request for Production of Documents These responses are referenced by the plaintiffs in their answers to Defendant's Interrogatories Nos 11, 12, 16 and 17

AFFIDAVIT OF SHELLEY M KERSLAKE - 3

2001

That these documents, consisting of written complaints by plaintiffs, and alleged statements by witnesses to isolated events, are non-responsive to the questions posed in the above-referenced Interrogatories

FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT

SHELLEY M KERSLAKE

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this

dayof September,

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of

Washington, residing at _ My commission expires

AFFIDAVIT OF SHELLEY M KERSLAKE - 4

26